|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF RUSHBROOKE WITH ROUGHAM****PARISH COUNCIL HELD ON MONDAY 30 JUNE 2016**Present: Cllrs I Steel (Chair), C Drewienkiewicz, J Eden, P Langdon, C Old and A Poole  | **ACTION** |
| **16/145** | **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**:Cllrs M Chapple and C Lee |  |
| **16/146** | **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS EXEMPTION FOR CLLR M COCKSEDGE:**It was reported that Cllr Cocksedge’s previous exemption had lapsed. Members agreed that, it was in the best interests of the Parish, to approve this new application in order to enable Cllr Cocksedge to participate in matters pertaining to his employer, Rougham Estate. Members supported this, and the application for exemption was approved and signed. |  |
| **16/147** | **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**: None |  |
|  |  |  |
| **16/148** | **PLANNING** |  |
|  | New Applications: DC/15/2406/FUL:  Agricultural Land adj Existing car park, Church Road, Rougham: (i)  Change of use of agricultural land (sue generis agriculture) to car park (sue  generis) for school use(ii) 3 metre boundary hedging as amended by location plan, block plan and arboricultural impact assessment received 27 May and 8 June 2016 revising layout and omitting additional vehicular access.Members were minded to support this application subject to the entrance being widened to enable adequate provision for pedestrians.  It was agreed that increasing the width from 3.6m to 4m (with 800 of that 4m being cordoned off as a footpath) would be acceptable.DC/16/1298/HH: 24 Orchard Close, Rougham: (i) first floor extension over existing garage; (ii) pitched roof to replace flat roof at front and rear of garageMembers agreed to support this applicationDC/16/1164/TPO: 40 Mouse Lane, Rougham: 1 no. Oak Tree (Oak on Plan, T12 on Order): Reduce canopy by 2.5m and raise lower canopy to 3m from ground level. Members agreed to support this application.DC/16/1066/FUL: Land 10 Smithy Close, Rougham: 1 no Dwelling: Members agreed to support this application.  | ClerkClerkClerkClerk |
| **16/149** | **Other Planning Matters** |  |
|  | Network Rail’s Proposed Closure of the Level Crossing at Cattishall: The PC had been advised of this proposal and had received a draft copy of Peter White’s (Principal Planning Officer at St Edmundsbury Borough Council) proposed response to Network Rail in this regard. It was agreed that the PC would confirm its agreement to the comments made in that letter and to request a copy of the final version from Peter White. | Clerk |
| **16/150** | Countryside Access ConsultationIt’s considered that we are very fortunate to have permissive footpaths linking up with other footpaths. Mr John Drewienkiewicz is to be asked if he would kindly complete this online consultation on the Parish’s behalf and a link to it placed on the website. | Clerk |
| **16/151** | West Suffolk Operational Hub/Community Governance ReviewCllr Steel reported on the recent meeting in the above regard. He also advised that it is likely that the new houses will be built first and then CGR considered (probably around 2020/1), when the majority of houses will have been built. This prompted various questions, which Cllr Steel hoped to answer by email the following day. At a meeting with Guy Smith, he advised that he is submitting a request for a speed limit on Rougham Tower Avenue. He will then seek a new speed limit for Mount Road and Sow Lane. It was noted that the Speed Panel had put through only 4 out of 14 requests to change speed limits. It was noted thatthere is a lot of disparity in this regard throughout the country. Guy Smith is to be asked to provide the PC with a draft of his proposed submission for our comment.Currently, a ‘T’ junction is being considered for the top Sow Lane (with a possibleroundabout on hold). Cycle Path behind Sow Lane Cottages: Peter White had advised that if a request were to be made in this regard, it would be approved. Rougham Estate is to be notified as to our proposals.  | Clerk |
| **16/152** | Field View Cottage: Guy Smith had admitted that this is a maintained highway.The Police should ticket the resident. He is having a meeting with the Police next week. He believes that the road isn’t widely used, whilst the PC considers it a busy road (rat run). The PC completely refuses a ‘T’ junction in that regard.. |  |
| **16/163** | **DATE IF THE NEXT PC MEETING**Monday 18 July at 7.30 p.m. in Rougham Sports Hall |  |